

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/750,378	12/31/2003	Nir Kol	103580.00024	4888
54975 HOLLAND &	7590 10/27/200 KNIGHT LLP	EXAMINER		
10 ST. JAMES	S AVENUE	NGUYEN, VAN KIM T		
BOSTON, MA	A 02116-3889		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2456	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/27/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/750,378	KOL ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Van Kim T. Nguyen	2456		

	Van Kim T. Nguyen	2456	l				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 19 October 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of the application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing							
b) X The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period is the date for purposes of determining the period under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of these for thin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: tension and the corresponding amount of thortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp.	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t	iled within two months	s of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)). Avoide of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS							
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to	out prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	cause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor							
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo							
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	, , , , , ,	ne issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a							
NOTE: <u>The newly added limitation "the database through base system connectors, the base system</u>							
of claim 1 raises new issues that would require fun							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12							
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s).	· -						
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving the proposed amendment of the proposed amendme</li></ol>		be entered and an e	kplanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9,11 and 14-17</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10.   The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach-	ed.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13.  Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
/Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit/							
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2456							